perspective

No Shortcuts to Safer Opioid Prescribing

Deborah Dowell, M.D., M.P.H., Tamara Haegerich, Ph.D., and Roger Chou, M.D.

April 24, 2019

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp1904190

Article		

Metrics

5 References

Article

INCE THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) RELEASED ITS Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain in 2016, the medical and health policy communities have largely embraced its recommendations. A majority ofstate Medicaid agencies reported having implemented the guideline in fee-for-service programs by 2018, and several states passed legislation to increase access to nonopioid pain treatments. Although outpatient opioid prescribing had been declining since 2012, accelerated decreases — including in high-risk prescribing — followed the guideline's release. Indeed, guideline uptake has been rapid. Diffculties faced by clinicians in prescribing opioids safely and effectively, growing awareness of opioid-associated risks, and a public health imperative to address opioid overdose underscored the need for guidance and probably facilitated uptake. Furthermore, the guideline was rated as high quality by the ECRI Guidelines Trust Scorecard. In addition, the CDC (including the authors of this Perspective, who were also authors of the Guideline) engaged clinicians, health systems leaders, payers, and other decision makers in discussions of the guideline's intent and provided clinical tools, including a mobile application and training, to facilitate appropriate implementation.

Efforts to implement prescribing recommendations to reduce opioid-related harms are laudable. Unfortunately, some policies and practices purportedly derived from the guideline have in fact been inconsistent with, and often go beyond, its recommendations. A consensus panel has highlighted these inconsistencies, which include inflexible application of recommended dosage and duration thresholds and policies that encourage hard limits and abrupt tapering of drug dosages, resulting in sudden opioid discontinuation or dismissal of patients from a physician's practice. The panel also noted the potential for misapplication ofthe recommendations to populations outside the scope of the guideline. Such misapplication has been reported for patients with pain associated with cancer, surgical procedures, or acute sickle cell crises. There have also been reports of misapplication of the guideline's dosage thresholds to opioid agonists for treatment ofopioid use disorder. Such actions are likely to result in harm to patients.

Get the latest medical news right in your inbox.

Receive email alerts about the weekly table of contents, specialty articles, recently published articles, and more.

SIGN UP

We need better evidence in order to evaluate the benefits and harms of clinical decisions regarding opioid prescribing, including when and how to reduce high-dose opioids in patients receiving them long term. The CDC developed the guideline on the basis of the best available evidence, with input from a multidisciplinary group that included experts in pain management as well as representatives of patients and the public. In situations for which the evidence is limited, it is particularly important not to extend implementation beyond the guideline's statements and intent. And yet in some cases, the guideline has been misimplemented in this way.

For example, the guideline states that "Clinicians should...avoid increasing dosage to 90 MME [morphine milligram equivalents]/day or carefully justify a decision to titrate dosage to 90 MME/day. "1 This statement does not address or suggest discontinuation of opioids already prescribed at higher dosages, yet it has been used to justify abruptly stopping opioid prescriptions or coverage. ⁵ This recommendation also does not apply to dosing for medicationassisted treatment for opioid use disorder. The CDC based the recommendation on evidence of dose-dependent harms ofopioids and the lack of evidence that higher dosages confer long-term benefits for pain relief. However, we know little about the benefits and harms of reducing high dosages ofopioids in patients who are physically dependent on them.

Patients who are able to successfully taper their opioid use are likely to have a lower risk of overdose, and evidence is accumulating that they might experience reduced pain.⁴ Other patients may find tapering challenging; could face risks related to withdrawal symptoms, increased pain, or unrecognized opioid use disorder; and if their dosages are abruptly tapered may seek other sources of opioids or have adverse psychological and physical outcomes. Policies should allow clinicians to account for each patient's unique circumstances in making clinical decisions.

The guideline offers guidance for caring for patients who are already taking opioid dosages of 90 MME or more per day long term, including guidance on when tapering the dose might be appropriate, the importance of empathetically reviewing risks associated with continuing high dose opioids, collaborating with patients who agree to taper their dose, maximizing nonopioid treatment, and tapering slowly enough to minimize withdrawal symptoms. Patients exposed to high dosages for years may need slower tapers (e.g., $10^0/0$ per month, though the pace oftapering may be individualized). ¹Success might require months to years. Though some situations, such as the aftermath of an overdose, may necessitate rapid tapers, the guideline does not support stopping opioid use abruptly. ¹

Guidelines can improve patient outcomes when they lead to policies that reduce harm, while offering support and coverage for underused services (e.g., nonpharmacologic strategies, naloxone coprescribing, and treatment for opioid use disorder). However, policies invoking the opioid-prescribing guideline that do not actually reflect its content and nuances can be used to justify actions contrary to the guideline's intent. The CDC has engaged quality-improvement organizations, payers, federal partners, state health departments, and others in discussions to encourage adherence to recommendations while avoiding actions that might cause harm. For example, the CDC worked with the American Society of Addiction Medicine to clarify that dosage thresholds in the guideline should not direct dosing of medication-assisted treatment for opioid use disorder.

Even guideline-concordant care can be challenging. Implementing recommendations with individual patients takes time and effort. An unintended consequence of expecting clinicians to mitigate risks of high-dose opioids is that rather than caring for patients receiving high dosages or engaging and supporting patients in efforts to taper their dosage, some clinicians may find it easier to refer or dismiss patients from care. Clinicians might universally stop prescribing opioids, even in situations in which the benefits might outweigh their risks. Such actions

disregard messages emphasized in the guideline that clinicians should not dismiss patients from care, which can adversely affect patient safety, could represent patient abandonment, and can result in missed opportunities to provide potentially lifesaving information and treatment. ¹ Effective implementation of the guideline requires recognition that there are no shortcuts to safer opioid prescribing (which includes assessment of benefits and risks, patient education, and risk mitigation) or to appropriate and safe reduction or discontinuation of opioid use. Starting fewer patients on opioid treatment and not escalating to high dosages in the first place will reduce the numbers of patients prescribed high dosages in the long term. In the meantime, clinicians can maximize use of nonopioid treatments, review with patients the benefits and risks of continuing opioid treatment, provide interested and motivated patients with support to slowly taper opioid dosages, closely monitor and mitigate overdose risk for patients who continue to take high-dose opioids, and offer or arrange medication-assisted treatment when opioid use disorder is identified. The CDC offers several tools to assist, including a pocket guide on tapering, a mobile app and online training with motivational interviewing components, and information about nonopioid treatments for pain. We are also working to identify ways to integrate recommendations into medical education and to support best practices among the next generation of medical professionals.

Appropriate implementation of the guideline includes maximizing use of physical, psychological, and multimodal pain treatments. However, these therapies have not been used, available, or reimbursed suffciently. The CDC has supported research to better define the evidence and coverage gaps for nonopioid pain treatments and has articulated the need to improve insurance coverage.²¹⁴ Efforts to support more judicious opioid use will become more successful as effective nonopioid treatments are increasingly available and used.

The CDC is evaluating the (intended and unintended) impact of the guideline and other health system strategies on clinician and patient outcomes and is committed to updating recommendations when new evidence is available. The CDC is funding the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality to conduct systematic reviews on the effectiveness of opioid, nonopioid pharmacologic, and nonpharmacologic treatments for acute and chronic pain. Results of these reviews will assist in identifying research priorities and determining when evidence gaps are sufficiently addressed to warrant a guideline update or expansion. Until then, we encourage implementation of recommendations consistent with the guideline's intent.

Funding and Disclosures

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available at NEJM.org.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

This article was published on April 24, 2019, at NEJM.org.

Author Affiliations

From the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta (D.D., T.H.); and Oregon Health and Science University, Portland (R.C.).

Supplementary Material

Disclosure Forms PDF 165KB

References (5)

- 1. Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain United States, 2016. MMWR Recomm Rep²⁰¹⁶;65:1
 - Crossref Web of Science Medline Google Scholar
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. CMCS informational bulletin: Medicaid strategies for non-opioid pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic chronic pain management. February 22, 2019 (https://www.medicaid.gov/federal-policy-guidance/downloads/cib022219.pdf). Google Scholar
- 3. Bohnert ASB, Guy GP Jr, Losby JL. Opioid prescribing in the United States before and after the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's 2016 opioid guideline. Ann Intern Med 2018;169:367375.

Crossref Medline Google Scholar

4. Dowell D, Haegerich TM. Changing the conversation about opioid tapering. Ann Intern Med 2017;167:208-209.

Crossref Medline Google Scholar

5. Kroenke K, Alford DP, ArgoffC, et al. Challenges with implementing the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention opioid guideline: a Consensus Panel report. Pain Med 2019;20:724-735. Crossref Medline Google Scholar

Close References

More about PAIN ADDICTION PUBLIC HEALTH

MEDICAL PRACTICE, TRAINING, AND EDUCATION PRIMARY CARE/HOSPITALIST/CLINICAL PRACTICE

More from the week of April 25, 2019

PERSPECTIVE

— Anatomy of the Angiotensin-Receptor Blocker Recalls The ROC Curve Redefined — Opti

Bhalla S.P. Walker